



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/783,159	02/13/2001	Michael R. Krause	10001459-1	2070	
7:	590 11/10/2004		EXAM	INER	
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY			BONURA, TIMOTHY M		
Intellectual Property Administration P.O. Box 272400			ART UNIT	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400			2114		

DATE MAILED: 11/10/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/783,159	KRAUSE ET AL.				
ravioury riodon	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Tim Bonura	2114				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 05 October 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	evoid abandonment of this application application (1) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper reply to a ch places the application in				
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverse, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moterned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE ate on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the distatutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 136(a) and the appropriate extension fee fee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	•					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);				
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or simplifying the				
(d) they present additional claims without cance NOTE:	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.				
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	I be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed amendment				
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		sidered but does NOT place the				
The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.						
For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	:					
Claim(s) allowed: 21-27.						
Claim(s) objected to: <u>2-9 and 11-14</u> .						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,10,15 and 16</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 17-20.						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.				
Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. Other:		Nadel				
	F	NADEEM IQBAL PRIMARY EXAMINER				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 09/783,159

Application No.

Continuation of 5. NOTE: Examiner is not persuaded by the applicants agruements that the claims are in condition for allowance. Examiner believes claims do not over come the prior art of record. Applicant did not move claims up in order to place application in condition for allowance.